Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/897,904	FUKUI, TATSUO
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Gordon J Stock	2877
All Participants:	Status of Application: after non-final	
(1) Gordon J Stock.	(3)	
(2) <u>Tarik Nabi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 1 December 2004	Time: <u>5:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 3,5,7,9,10		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		`
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		ļ
		·
DenoSpert		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims 3, 5, 7, 9, and 10 were discussed due to lack of antecedent basis objections and grammatical errors in the claims to establish a possible amendment to the claims. However, the proposed amendments were not formally agreed upon by the applicant.